93 Box 35 - JGR/Pardons - Roberts, John G.: Files SERIES I: Subject File

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name ROBERTS, JOHN: FILES Withdrawer **IGP** 8/7/2005 File Folder JGR/PARDONS **FOIA** F05-139/01 **Box Number** 35 COOK 791CD

DC	C Doc Type	oc Type Document Description		78IGP			
NO		Document Description	No of Pages		Restrictions		
1	МЕМО	ROBERTS TO FIELDING RE REQUEST FOR PARDON	1	5/13/1983	B6	1052	
2	LETTER	TO PRESIDENT REAGAN RE REQUEST FOR PARDON	5	3/24/1983	В6	1053	
3	LETTER	TO JAMES BAKER RE REQUEST FOR PARDON	1	10/22/1984	В6	1055	
4	LETTER	FROM UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION TO W. HICKMAN EWING RE PAROLE HEARING	4	6/7/1984	B6 B7(C)	1060	
5 8	REPORT	PAROLE HEARING TESTIMONY	6	6/7/1984	B6 B7(C)	1065	

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal Statute [(D)(3) of the FOIA]
B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions ((b)(8) of the FOIA] B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical Information concerning wells ((b)(9) of the FOIA)

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

ROBERTS, JOHN: FILES

Withdrawer

IGP 8/7/2005

File Folder

JGR/PARDONS

FOIA

F05-139/01

COOK

Box Number

35

78IGP

DOC Document Type NO Document Description

pages

No of Doc Date Restrictions

1 **MEMO**

1 5/13/1983 B6

1052

ROBERTS TO FIELDING RE REQUEST FOR **PARDON**

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1983

Dear Mr. Rechany:

A copy of a letter from you to the President concerning Ramiro De La Fe, dated March 24, 1983, was enclosed in correspondence from Ms. Miriam Mata to Becky Norton Dunlop of the White House staff. That letter was referred to our office for appropriate handling.

At least in the first instance, requests for a pardon are reviewed by the Pardon Attorney at the Department of Justice. I have taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to Mr. David Stephenson, Acting Pardon Attorney, for his consideration. I am confident that you will hear from him in the near future.

Sincerely,

Orig. signed by FFF

Fred F. Fielding Counsel to the President

Ricardo J. Rechany, Esq. 444 Brickell Avenue Plaza 51-139 Miami, Florida 33131

FFF: JGR: aw 5/13/83

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR DAVID C. STEPHENSON

ACTING PARDON ATTORNEY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM:

FRED F. FIELDING Orig. signed by FFF

COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Pardon Request

Attached is a letter to the President from Ricardo J. Rechany, attorney for Ramiro De La Fe. A copy of this letter was enclosed with other correspondence sent to the White House. We have been unable to locate the original incoming letter to the President and accordingly are uncertain whether the parties are interested in pursuing the request. Our only interest is that the request receive the review granted similar petitions.

Thank you.

Attachment

FFF:JGR:aw 5/13/83

cc: FFFielding

ZGRoberts

Subj. Chron

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1983

Dear Mr. Rechany:

A copy of a letter from you to the President concerning Ramiro De La Fe, dated March 24, 1983, was enclosed in correspondence from Ms. Miriam Mata to Becky Norton Dunlop of the White House staff. That letter was referred to our office for appropriate handling.

At least in the first instance, requests for a pardon are reviewed by the Pardon Attorney at the Department of Justice. I have taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to Mr. David Stephenson, Acting Pardon Attorney, for his consideration. I am confident that you will hear from him in the near future.

Sincerely,

Fred F. Fielding Counsel to the President

Ricardo J. Rechany, Esq. 444 Brickell Avenue Plaza 51-139 Miami, Florida 33131

FFF:JGR:aw 5/13/83

cc: FFFielding
JGRoberts
Subj.
Chron

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR DAVID C. STEPHENSON

ACTING PARDON ATTORNEY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM:

FRED F. FIELDING

COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Pardon Request

Attached is a letter to the President from Ricardo J. Rechany, attorney for Ramiro De La Fe. A copy of this letter was enclosed with other correspondence sent to the White House. We have been unable to locate the original incoming letter to the President and accordingly are uncertain whether the parties are interested in pursuing the request. Our only interest is that the request receive the review granted similar petitions.

Thank you.

Attachment

FFF:JGR:aw 5/13/83

cc: FFFielding

JGRoberts

Subj. Chron

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

ROBERTS, JOHN: FILES

Withdrawer

IGP 8/7/2005

File Folder

JGR/PARDONS

FOIA

F05-139/01

COOK

Box Number

35

78IGP

DOC Document Type
NO Document Description

....

pages

No of Doc Date Restric-

tions

2 LETTER

5 3/24/1983 B6

1053

TO PRESIDENT REAGAN RE REQUEST FOR PARDON

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

United States District Court

FOR THE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

0(13)

United States of America
v.

RAMIRO DE LA PE

No. 67-343-CR-CA

To ANY UNITED STATES MARSHAL OR ANY OTHER AUTHORIZED OFFICER:

You are hereby commanded to arrest RAMIRO IN LA FE and bring h in forthwith before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Plorida in the city of Miani to answer to an INDECTMENT charging h in the

conspiracy to commit piracy on the high seas; assault with a dangerous weapon; destruction of navigation appliances; unlawful boarding of vessel on high seas; in violation of Title 18, United STates Code, Section 1651, 371, 1363, 113(c) and 2119.

ARTHOGRAPH AND A STREET

Dated at Hani, Florida		 -		
onJuly 26	10 69		EPH.IRC	
Bail fixed at \$ 2,500.00 Recogni	Leance Bond	Stu	ax	10Had
	RETURN			Defuty Clerk.
District of	÷	88		•
Received the within warrant the	day of	• .	19	and executed same.
•	ż.			
	 Бу [*]		******************	
'Insert designation of officer to whom the uthorized officer"; or "United States Marshal for "any Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation"; or "any see Federal Bureau of Investigation"; or "any see Federal Bureau of Investigation";	for Distri	tor	nited Cons.	

1005

RAMIRO DE LA FE, et al. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Commission of the Commission o and the control of th

CATED STATES DISTRICT COLAI

Couthern District of Florida

INDICIMENT

Violation of Title 18, USC, Sections 1651, 371, 1363, 113(c), 2199

A true bsu,

Filed in open court this ... All day

Iwana.

OF JUSEPH I. BOGART, CLERK

190 Hous Deput gran



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

NO. (c7-343 - - CR- CA
18 USC, Section 1651

M/S Life imprisonment

18 USC, Section 371

M/S \$10,000 - 5 yrs.

18 USC, Section 1363

M/S \$1,000 - 5 yrs.

18 USC, Section 113(c)

M/S \$1,000 - 5 yrs.

18 USC, Section 2199

M/S \$1,000 - 1 yr.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

: INDICTMENT

RAMIRO DE LA FE;
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA;
MODESTO CARLOS GARCIA MENDEZ;
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA;
MANUEL ALVAREZ-SOLANO;
SERGIO CIPRI BRUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez

The Grand Jury charges:

COUNT I .

That from on or about May 1, 1967, and continuously thereafter up to and including the present time, in the Southern District of Florida, the Northern District of Illinois, and upon the high seas, the defendants,

RAMIRO DE LA FE,
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA,
MODESTO CARLOS GARCIA MENDEZ,
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA,
MANUEL.ALVAREZ-SOLANO, and
SERGIO CIPRI ERUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez,

unlawfully, wilfully and knowingly did conspire with each other, and with divers other persons to the Grand Jury unknown, to violate the laws of the United States, to-wit: Title 18, United States Code, Sections 113(c),

1013

- 1. It was the plan of said conspiracy to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1651, by knowingly, wilfully and unlawfully conspiring to, by open force, commandeer a vessel belonging to a corporation of the United States, to sail said vessel to a point near Cuba, and to use said vessel as their own.
- 2. It was further a part of the said conspiracy that the said defendants and co-conspirators would enter a vessel armed with rifles and, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 113(c), would knowingly and wilfully with force and arms place the crew, through apprehension of physical harm, under its command.
- 3. It was further a part of the said conspiracy that the said defendants and co-conspirators would, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1363, knowingly and wilfully destroy the radio equipment upon the vessel so that radio communication would be rendered impossible.
- 4. It was further a part of the said conspiracy that the said defendants and co-conspirators would rendezvous with a smaller boat after the large vessel was captured.

In pursuance and furtherance of the said conspiracy, and to effect the objects thereof, the defendants and their co-conspirators did commit in the Southern District of Florida, the Northern District of Illinois, and upon the high seas, the following overt acts, among others:

OVERT ACTS

1. On or about June 17 and 18, 1967, Ramiro De La Fe and Modesto Carlos Garcia Mendez traveled by automobile from Chicago, Illinois, to 824 Jefferson Avenue, Miami Beach, within the Southern District of Florida.

- 2. In the latter part of June 1967, the exact date to the Grand Jury unknown, Ramiro De La Fe, and divers other persons to the Grand Jury unknown, did meet in Miami, in the Southern District of Florida, and discuss plans to seize a ship.
- 3. That on or about July 10, 1967, Ramiro De La Fe and Humberto Perez Montes De Oca did meet at 147 N. E. Second Avenue in Miami, in the Southern District of Florida.
- 4. That at or about 7:00 P. M., on July 10, 1967, Ramiro De La Fe, Armando Betancourt y Mella De Eusebia, Modesto Carlos Garcia Mendez, Humberto Perez Montes De Oca, Manuel Alvarez-Solano, and Sergio Cipri Bruce Freijo y De Bedia, a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez, did meet on the dock at Dodge Island, Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida.
- 5. That at or about 12:30 A. M., on July 11, 1967, on the high seas, the defendants, Ramiro De La Fe, Armando Betancourt y Mella De Eusebia, Modesto Carlos Garcia Mendez, Humberto Perez Montes De Oca, Manuel Alvarez-Solano, and Sergio Cipri Bruce Freijo y De Bedia, a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez, each armed, entered the wheelhouse on the bridge of the SS Freight Transporter.
- 6. On or about July 11, 1967, the defendants, Ramiro De La Fe, Armando Betancourt y Mella De Eusebia, Modesto Carlos García Mendez, Rumberto Perez Montes De Oca, and Sergio Cipri Bruce Freijo y De Bedia, a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez, did enter a taxicab at 13th Street and Ocean Drive, Miami Beach, within the Southern District of Florida.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

בו הייוסס

That on or about the 11th day of July, 1967, on the high sens within the special maritime and admiralty jurisdiction of the United .

States, the defendants,

RAMIRO DE LA FE,
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA,
MODESTO CARLOS CARCIA MENDEZ,
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA,
MANUEL ALVAREZ-SOLANO, and
SERGIO CIPRI BRUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez,

did, without lawful authority, knowingly, wilfully and unlawfully seize, commandeer and take command of the vessel, SS Freight Transporter, with force and arms, said vessel being owned by the Odecca Terminal Company, an American corporation chartered under the laws of the State of New York, said vessel being leased to Coordinating Caribbean Transport. Inc., an American corporation chartered under the laws of the State of Florida; the aforementioned defendants did knowingly, wilfully and unlawfully take from said vessel one lifeboat without right or claim of title, to Miami Beach, within the Southern District of Florida; all such acts being piracy as defined by law of nations; in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1651.

COUNT III

On or about the 11th day of July, 1967, on board the <u>SS Freight</u>

<u>Transporter</u>, a vessel belonging to the Odecca Terminal Company, an

American corporation chartered under the laws of the State of New York,

while said vessel was on the high seas, that is, on the Atlantic Ocean,
the defendants,

RAMIRO DE LA FE,
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA,
MODESTO CARLOS GARCIA MENDEZ,
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA,
MANUEL ALVAREZ-SOLÁNO, and
SERGIO CIPRI RRUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez,

did, without just cause or excuse, assault Ostel Edgar Woods, Ramon Carpto

- 4603

and Pedro Concepcion, with dangerous weapons, that is, guns, with intent to do bodily harm to said Ostel Edgar Woods, Ramon Carpio and Pedro Concepcion. The Southern District of Florida was the Federal Judicial District into which the defendants first entered following the commission of the aforesaid offense. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 113(c) and 2.

COUNT IV

On or about the 11th day of July, 1967, on board the SS Freight

Transporter, a vessel belonging to the Odecca Terminal Company, an American
corporation chartered under the laws of the State of New York, while the
vessel was on the high seas, that is, the Atlantic Ocean, the defendants,

RAMIRO DE LA FE,
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA,
MODESTO CARLOS GARCIA MENDEZ,
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA,
MANUEL ALVARFZ-SOLANO, and
SERCIO CIPRI BRUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez,

did wilfully and maliciously injure appliances for navigation aboard said vessel, to-wit: the ship-to-shore radio equipment, by dismantling and wrecking it. Thereafter said defendants returned to Miami Beach, within the Southern District of Florida. All in violation of Ti-le 18, United States Code, Sections 1363 and 2.

COURT V

On or about the 10th day of July, 1967, the defendants,

RAMIRO DE LA FE,
ARMANDO BETANCOURT Y MELLA DE EUSEBIA,
MODESTO CARLOS CARCIA MENDEZ,
HUMBERTO PEREZ MONTES DE OCA,
MANUEL ALVAREZ-SOLANO, and
SERGIO CIPRI BRUCE FREIJO Y DE BEDIA,
a/k/a Carlos Salgado-Suarez,

ō

without the consent of the operator of a vessel, that is, the <u>SS Freight</u> <u>Transporter</u>, and without any lawful consent, and with intent to obtain transportation on said vessel, did board, enter and secrete themselves on the vessel at Miami, Florida, and did remain aboard the vessel after said vessel had left Miami, Florida, and were on the vessel at a place within the jurisd ction of the United States, to-wit: the high seas east of Miami Beach, Florida; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Scation 2199.

A TRUE BILL

FOREMAN

WILLIAM A. MEADOWS, JR. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

design of Biorman

Assistant United States Attorney

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

John

Suspense Date _____ MEMORANDUM FOR: COUNSEL'S OFFICE ATTORNEYS FROM: DIANNA G. HOLLAND **ACTION** Approved Please handle/review XXFor your information For your recommendation For the files Please see me Please prepare response for signature As we discussed Return to me for filing COMMENT



Subject

New rules governing petitions for Executive clemency

Date

September 13, 1983

To

Fred F. Fielding Counsel to the President David C. Stephenson
Acting Pardon Attorney

On May 5, 1983 President Reagan approved a revision of the rules governing petitions for pardon and other forms of Executive clemency, the first revision since 1962. regulations governing petitions for Executive clemency describe the procedures involved in petitioning the President for Executive clemency and the responsibility of the Attorney General in investigating each applicant for clemency and advising the President as to the proper disposition of each application. The new rules simplify and update clemency procedures, authorize the Attorney General to delegate his responsibility in clemency matters, lengthen the eligibility waiting period for pardon applicants to a minimum of five years, with a minimum of seven required for more serious crimes, increase the categories of crimes requiring the longer eligibility waiting period, and broaden the discretionary authority to release clemency records in the public interest. A new section describes the nature and effect of the regulations.

Two copies of the new rules are attached. The rules will be published in the next revision of the Code of Federal Regulations at 28 CFR 1.1 $\underline{\text{et}}$ $\underline{\text{seq}}$. In the interim, additional copies may be requested by calling the Office of the Pardon Attorney at 492-5910.

RULES GOVERNING PETITIONS FOR EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

United States Department of Justice

WASHINGTON, D.C.

PART 1—EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

Sec.

- 1.1 Submission of petition; form to be used; contents of petition.
- Eligibility for filing petition for pardon.
- 1.3 Eligibility for filing petition for commutation of sentence.
- 1.4 Offenses against the laws of possessions or territories of the United States.
- 1.5 Disclosure of files.
- Consideration of petitions; recommendations to the President.
- Notification of grant of clemency.
- Notification of denial of clemency.
- 1.9 Delegation of authority.
- 1.10 Advisory nature of regulations.

Authority: U.S. Const., Art. II, Sec. 2, and authority of the President as Chief Executive.

§ 1.1 Submission of petition; form to be used; contents of petition.

Persons seeking Executive clemency by pardon, reprieve, commutation of sentence or remission of fine shall execute formal petitions therefor. The petitions shall be addressed to the President of the United States and shall be submitted to the Pardon Attorney, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, except for petitions relating to military offenses. Petitions and other required forms may be obtained from the Pardon Attorney. Petition forms for commutation of sentence also may be obtained from the wardens of Federal penal institutions. A petitioner applying for Executive clemency with respect to military offenses should submit his petition directly to the Secretary of the military department which had original jurisdiction over the court-martial trial and conviction of the petitioner. In such instance, a form furnished by the Pardon Attorney may be used but should be modified to meet the needs of the particular case. Each petition for Executive clemency should include the information required in the form prescribed by the Attorney General.

§ 1.2 Eligibility for filing petition for pardon.

No petition for pardon should be filed until the expiration of a waiting period of at least five years subsequent to the date of the release of the petitioner from confinement or, in case no prison sentence was imposed, until the expiration of a period of at least five

years subsequent to the date of the conviction of the petitioner. In some cases, such as those involving violent crimes, violation of narcotics laws, gun control laws, income tax laws, perjury, violation of public trust involving personal dishonesty, fraud involving substantial sums of money, violations involving organized crime, or other crimes of a serious nature, no petition should be filed until the expiration of a waiting period of seven years. The waiting period may be waived in cases of aliens seeking a pardon to avert deportation. Generally, no petition should be submitted by a person who is on probation or parole.

§ 1.3 Eligibility for filing petition for commutation of sentence.

A petition for commutation of sentence, including remission of fine, should be filed only if no other form of relief is available, such as from a court or the United States Parole Commission, or if unusual circumstances exist, such as critical illness, severity of sentence, ineligibility for parole, or meritorious service rendered by the petitioner.

§ 1.4 Offenses against the laws of possessions or territories of the United States.

Petitions for Executive elemency shall relate only to violations of laws of the United States. Petitions relating to violations of laws of the possessions of the United States or territories subject to the jurisdiction thereof should be submitted to the appropriate official or agency of the possession or territory concerned.

§ 1.5 Disclosure of files.

Petitions, reports, memoranda and communications submitted or furnished in connection with the consideration of a petition for Executive elemency generally shall be available only to the officials concerned with the consideration of the petition. However, they may be made available for inspection, in whole or in part, when in the judgment of the Attorney General their disclosure is required by law or the ends of justice.

§ 1.6 Consideration of petitions; recommendations to the President.

- (a) Upon receipt of a petition for Executive clemency the Attorney General shall cause such investigation to be made of the matter as he may deem necessary and appropriate, using the services of, or obtaining reports from, appropriate officials and agencies of the Government, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- (b) The Attorney General shall review each petition and all pertinent information developed by the investigation

and shall determine whether the request for clemency is of sufficient merit to warrant favorable action by the President. He shall report in writing his recommendation to the President, stating whether in his judgment the President should grant or deny the petition.

§ 1.7 Notification of grant of clemency.

When a petition for pardon is granted, the petitioner or his attorney shall be notified of such action and the warrant of pardon shall be mailed to the petitioner. When commutation of sentence is granted, the petitioner shall be notified of such action and the warrant of commutation shall be sent to the petitioner through the officer in charge of his place of confinement, or directly to the petitioner if he is on parole.

§ 1.8 Notification of denial of clemency.

- (a) Whenever the President notifies the Attorney General that he is denying a request for clemency, the Attorney General shall so advise the petitioner and close the case.
- (b) Whenever the Attorney General recommends that the President deny a request for clemency and the President does not disapprove or take other action with respect to that adverse recommendation within 30 days after the date of its submission to him, it shall be presumed that the President concurs in that adverse recommendation of the Attorney General, and the Attorney General shall so advise the petitioner and close the case.

§ 1.9 Delegation of authority.

The Attorney General may delegate to any officer of the Department of Justice any of his duties or responsibilities under §§ 1.1-through 1.8.

§ 1.10 Advisory nature of regulations.

The regulations contained in this part are advisory only and for the internal guidance of Department of Justice personnel. They create no enforceable rights in persons applying for Executive clemency, nor do they restrict the authority granted to the President under Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution.

William French Smith Autorney General

Dated: April 27, 1983

Approved:

Ronald Reagan

Dated: May 5, 1983

Parlona

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 15, 1984

Dear Mr. Goldsmith:

Your letter of October 22, 1984 to White House Chief of Staff James A. Baker, III has been referred to me for consideration and response. In that letter you objected to your return to custody after cooperation with the United States Attorney's Office, and noted that you had an application pending before Acting Pardon Attorney David C. Stephenson.

I hope you will understand that it would be inappropriate for the White House to interfere in any way with the decision of the Parole Commission, or to interfere with the processing of your application before the Acting Pardon Attorney. I have, however, referred your correspondence to the Department of Justice, for whatever action that Department considers appropriate.

Sincerely,

John G. Roberts

Associate Counsel to the President

John Dolabert

Mr. Reginald Goldsmith Federal Correctional Institution PMB 1000 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

· WASHINGTON

November 15, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROGER CLEGG

ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS

ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Correspondence to Mr. Baker From Inmate

The attached correspondence, together with a copy of my interim reply, is referred to the Department of Justice for whatever action may be appropriate.

Many thanks.

Attachment

ID	#	269784	
טו	#		CU

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

O · OUTGOING				
☐ H · INTERNAL ☐ I · INCOMING				
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD)/				
Name of Correspondent:	Fearnald Hold	smich		
	J. San Casa Jeji Cas	ement.		
☐ MI Mail Report	User Codes: (A)	(B) (C)		
Subject: Pitale:	Aroblem 2	(0)		
	C .			
		·		
		-		
ROUTE TO:	ACTION	DISPOSITION		
	Tracking	Type Completion		
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Date Code YY/MM/DD	of Date Response Code YY/MM/DD		
(1) Halla	ORIGINATOR PY, 11,04			
	Referral Note:			
WAT 18	Referral Note:	6 (1)		
	Referral Note:	6 5 841111h		
	Neterral Note:			
	Referral Note:			
	Referral Note:			
		1 1		
	Referral Note:			
ACTION CODES:				
A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommenda	I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary tion R - Direct Reply w/Copy	DISPOSITION CODES: A - Answered		
D - Draft Response F - Furnish Fact Sheet	S - For Signature	B - Non-Special Referral S - Suspended		
to be used as Enclosus	e	FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE: Type of Response = Initials of Signer		
		Code = "A" Completion Date = Date of Outgoing		
omments:	0066357			
/				

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

ROBERTS, JOHN: FILES

Withdrawer

IGP 8/7/2005

File Folder

JGR/PARDONS

FOIA

F05-139/01

COOK

Box Number

35

78IGP

DOC Document Type
NO Document Description

No of Doo

pages

Doc Date Restric-

tions

3 LETTER

1 10/22/1984 B6

1055

TO JAMES BAKER RE REQUEST FOR PARDON

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

NY WOLL NOTE JR. Ny hor, teny teny teny

MAIN OUT THE SECTION WOLLD

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Machington, D.C. 20315

October 1, 1984

Cecil C. McCall
Regional Countssioner
United States Parole Commission
Southeast Regional Office
715 McDonough Flvd., S.F.
Atlanta, Georgia 30515

Dear Mr. McCall:

I am writing on behalf of Mr. Peginald Goldswith, an immate of the Federal Correctional Institute in Tallahassee, Florida.

While I do not know Mr. Goldsmith personally, he has written to me concerning his present incarceration for parole violation. It is my understanding that he was serving this term when, in November, 1982, he was granted a Bond at the request of the U.S. Attorney for the Western District of Tennessee. Subsequently, he assisted the Drug Enforcement Agency in bringing forth the indictments and ultimate convictions of nineteen individuals involved in three major international drug operations. In May, 1984, when Mr. Goldsmith's Bond was revoked, the U.S. Attorney urged his release in light of his cooperation; however, the Farole Commission, denied the request.

I would strongly recommend that the Commission review its decision to incarcerate Mr. Goldsmith. The community at large owes a great debt to him for his aid in penetrating three separate major drug organizations. Moreover, he exposed himself to considerable risk during both the time he spent working on these important cases, and the time he spent in necessary seclusion after his cooperation became public. One must conclude that Mr. Goldsmith's experience was at least equivalent to and probably far more severe than any incarceration could have been.

Thank you in advance for your very careful consideration of this matter. I would appreciate being kept appraised of Mr. Goldsmith's situation.

Cecil C. McCall United States Parole Commission October 1, 1984 Page 2

With kind regards,

Singarely,

PETER W. PODING, JR.

M.C.

PWR/rt/mb

cc: The Honorable Benjamin F. Baer 5550 Friendship Boulevard Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name ROBERTS, JOHN: FILES			<i>Withdrawer</i> IGP 8/7/2005				
ĸo	BERTS, JOHN. FILES		IGP 8/	//2005			
File	e Folder		FOIA				
JGl	R/PARDONS	F05-139/01					
			COOK				
Box	x Number						
35			78IGP				
DC	C Document Type	No of	Doc Date	Restric-			
No	O Document Description	pages		tions			
		,					
4	LETTER	4	6/7/1984	B6	1060		
	FROM UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION TO W. HICKMAN EWING RE PAROLE HEARING			B7(C)			

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

	llection Name	Withdrawer						
RO	BERTS, JOHN: FILES	IGP 8/7/2005						
File	e Folder		FOIA					
JGI	R/PARDONS		F05-139/	01				
			COOK					
Вох	c Number							
35			78IGP					
\overline{DO}	C Document Type	No of	Doc Date	Restric-				
No	O Document Description	pages		tions				
		•						
5								
•	REPORT	6	6/7/1984	B6	1065			
	PAROLE HEARING TESTIMONY			B7(C)				

B7(C)

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.